### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	SEARCHII	NG AUTHO	RITY	Ula	PCT	REC'D 2 0 JUL 2005
<b>)</b> :				10		WIPO
see form PCT/ISA/220				INTERNATION	PCT Rule 43	HING AUTHORITY bis.1)
				Date of mailing	<u>-31 JU</u>	
				(day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210	0 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER ACTION		
ee form PCT/		C11.00		See paragraph 2 belo	ow .	
nternational appli			International filing date (c	day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		y/month/year)
CT/GB2005/	00174		19.01.2005		21.01.2004	
ternational Pate	nt Classifica	tion (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
61K9/16		, ,				
Applicant						
HE SCHOOL	OF PHA	RMACY				
		· :	ione relating to the fol	lowing items:		
. This opir	iion conta	uns indicau	ons relating to the fol	Journal of the second		
⊠ Box N	lo. I Ba	asis of the o	plnion			
☐ Box N	lo. II Pi	riority			the stop and indi	ustrial applicability
⊠ Box N	lo. III N	on-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and mo	potrici apprimentation
☐ Box N	lo. IV L	ack of unity	of invention			ive step or industrial
⊠ Box N	· a	pplicability;	of invention atement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard in is supporting such st	atement	No stop of winds
☐ Box N	No. Vì C	ertain docui	ments cited			
☐ Box I	Jo. VII C	ertain defec	ts in the international ap	oplication		•
☐ Box I	No. VIII C	ertain obse	rvations on the internation	onal application		
o CURTH	R ACTIO	N				
If a dem written o the appl Internati	and for interprinted the printer of	emational pr he Internationses an Authous au under Ruidered.	reliminary examination is onal Preliminary Examini ority other than this one le 66.1 bis(b) that written	to be the IPEA and to opinions of this Inter	he chosen IPEA rnational Searchi	ng Authority
submit t months	oinion is, a to the IPEA from the d ver expires	ate of mailir	bove, considered to be ply together, where app ig of Form PCT/ISA/220	a written opinion of the propriate, with amend or before the expirat	ne IPEA, the app ments, before the ion of 22 months	e expiration of three from the priority date,
W///O//O	her options	s, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
			to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
For furt		, see notes				
For furt		, see notes				
For furt	her details			Authorized Office	г	aditak i Palang
For furt	her details	s of the ISA:			r	gardinan Falan
For furt	her details	s of the ISA:	P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized Office	г	ogenium i Palem



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000174

Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
1. With regard	to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This of langua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following get which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of r	naterial:
□ as	equence listing
☐ tab	ole(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format o	of material:
□ in	written format
☐ in	computer readable form
c. time of	filing/turnishing:
	ontained in the international application as filed.
☐ fil	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
has l	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theret been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.
4. Additiona	al comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000174

			and industrial					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
		nventi ble ha	ion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ave not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,							
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 19, with regard to industrial applicability							
bec	ause:							
	the said international application does not require an internationa	ıı preii	iminary examination (Speeday)					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
⋈	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 19, with regard to industrial applicability							
	We are the post programme listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	See separate sheet for further	r deta	ils					

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000174

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-12,14-19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-19

13

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III

Claim 19 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2002/076444 A1 (DI COSTANZO FRANCIS ET AL) 20 June 2002 (2002-06-20)

D2: JAMEELA S R ET AL: "Progesterone-loaded chitosan microspheres: a long acting biodegradable controlled delivery system" JOURNAL OF CONTROLLED RELEASE, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 52, no. 1-2, 2 March 1998 (1998-03-02), pages 17-24, XP004113650 ISSN: 0168-3659

D3: PRADHAN R S ET AL: "FORMULATION AND IN VITRO RELEASE STUDY ON POLY (DL-LACTIDE) MICROSPHERES CONTAINING HYDROPHILIC COMPOUNDS: GLYCINE HOMOPEPTIDES" JOURNAL OF CONTROLLED RELEASE, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 30, no. 2, 1 May 1994 (1994-05-01), pages 143-154, XP000451918 ISSN: 0168-3659

D4: MATEOVIC T ET AL: "Influence of different droplet stabilizers on the properties of microspheres prepared by the solvent evaporation method" ACTA TECHNOLOGIAE ET LEGIS MEDICAMENTI, vol. 14, 2003, pages 53-66, XP008049338 cited in the application

Document D1 discloses (for relevant passages see Search Report): a process for producing microparticles comprising adding Eudragit S100, ketoprofen and the surfactant Crodesta F-70 (HLB=7) to ethanol, followed by emulsifying the organic phase into an aqueous phase, which contains Span 60 (HLB=4.7), followed by filtration under vacuum and drying, resulting in evaporation of the solvent.

### 2.1 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

As can be seen from the above, document D1 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 1. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

### 2.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 18

As can be seen from the above, document D1 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 18. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

## 2.3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 19

As can be seen from the above, document D1 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 19. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

Document D2 discloses (for relevant passages see Search Report): a process for making particles comprising mixing a progesterone with a solution of chitosan in acetic acid and

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000174

dispersing this mixture in liquid paraffin and sorbitan sesquioleate. The dispersion is emulsified, and after centrifugation the microparticles are vacuum dried, which results in solvent evaporation. The particle size distribution is 67% of the particles between 45-90  $\mu m$  and 20% between 90-150  $\mu m$ .

### 3.1 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

As can be seen from the above, document D2 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 1. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

### 3.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 18

As can be seen from the above, document D2 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 18. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

#### 3.3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 19

As can be seen from the above, document D2 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 19. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

Document D3 discloses (for relevant passages see Search Report): a process for the production of microspheres comprising emulsifying a suspension of glycine homopeptide, poly-DL-lactide and acetone in mineral oil with sorbitan sesquioleate followed by evaporation of the acetone. The major fraction of the microparticles was in the range of

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000174

38-125 µm.

#### 4.1

#### INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

As can be seen from the above, document D3 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 1. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

#### 4.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 18

As can be seen from the above, document D3 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 18. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

#### 4.3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 19

As can be seen from the above, document D3 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 19. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

#### 5 INVENTIVE STEP

#### 5.1

All embodiments covered by the claims, particularly by the independent claims, should satisfy the criteria of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT). The inventive step being based on the achievement of a technical effect, namely that microparticles having a

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000174

median diameter of up to 100  $\mu$ m can be produced by means of the method. It must therefore be credible that all the alternatives claimed must be a solution to the problem, namely to produce microparticles having a median diameter of up to 100  $\mu$ m. It is clear that the technical features of a present claims 1, 18 and 19 in view of the description (see example 18, page 19, lines 6-8) cannot lead to the production of microparticles having this diameter, since PVAP microparticles are likely to be too large to fall within the terms the invention and therefore cannot solve the problem of the present application.

Moreover, in view of document D4 it is clear that the technical features of present claims 1, 18 and 19 cannot lead to the production of microparticles having a median diameter of up to 100  $\mu$ m. Document D4 discloses (for relevant passages see Search Report): a method for producing particles comprising mixing a dispersion of Eudragit RS, pipedimic acid and Span 20 in acetone with paraffin containing Span 80 to form an emulsion followed by evaporation to obtain microspheres having geometric mean diameters above 100  $\mu$ m, namely 266-361  $\mu$ m (see D4: table 1). Additionally, in view of the description of the present application page 3, line 26 - page 4, line 1 the microparticles produced by the method of D4 also do not function as intended.

Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-19 can not be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

#### 6 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-17

Dependent claims 2-17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

7
For the assessment of the present claim 19 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000174

also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.